

LOUISVILLE JOURNAL.

EDITED AND PUBLISHED BY
PRENTICE, HENDERSON, & OSBORNE,
Third Street, between Locust and Green.

SUBSCRIPTION PRICES AND ADVERTISING.

One year, \$12. Two years, \$22. Three years, \$36. Weekly, \$1.
Twenty-five cents extra for each copy sent by mail. Extra weekly, \$1.

CLUB PRICES—IN ADVANCE.

Five copies of the Louisville Journal, \$1. Six copies, \$1. Twenty-five cents extra for each copy sent by mail. Extra weekly, \$1.

ADVERTISEMENTS IN DAILY JOURNAL.

One year, \$10. Two years, \$18. Extra weekly, \$1. Extra weekly, \$1.

WEEKLY JOURNAL.

Each copy, \$1. Extra weekly, \$1. Extra weekly, \$1.

For full particulars go to terms of party advertising.

See our section on page 2.

LOUISVILLE

FOR PRESIDENT,
Millard Fillmore,
OF NEW YORK.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT,
Andrew Jackson Donelson,
OF TENNESSEE.

STATE ELECTORAL TICKET.

FOR THE STATE AT LARGE,
Roger W. Hanson

AND
John W. Crockett,

1st Congressional District, of Tennessee.

W. R. Kinney,

Roger C. Bowling,

For Congress.

Philip Lee,

George A. Ward,

John T. Wilcox,

Harvey Ward,

W. C. Downey,

W. S. Rankin,

AUGUST ELECTION, 1856.

AMERICAN NOMINATIONS—SEVENTH JUDICIAL

JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT,

W. F. Bullock,

For Commonwealth's Attorney,

Edwin S. Crane,

COUNCIL OF CIVIL Nominations.

For Chancellor of the Louisville Chancery Court,

Calvin W. Logan,

For Clerk of the Louisville Chancery Court,

W. L. Hervey,

For Marshal of the Louisville Chancery Court,

W. C. D. Whipple,

For Clerk of Jefferson Circuit Court,

W. L. Lyons,

For Sheriff of Jefferson County,

W. M. S. Megowan,

For Marshal of the City Court,

Larry B. White,

WEDNESDAY, MAY 28, 1856.

The Daily Journal has much the largest circulation in the city and double the circulation in the country of any other daily paper printed in Louisville; and the Weekly Journal has much more than double the circulation of all the other weekly papers in Louisville put together.

We have read with some attention the address and resolutions of the late Wiggin in Lexington. There is one out of the resolutions that appears to have been aimed at the American party. That one is the following:

That every right granted by the Constitution should be faithfully exercised by every man who has a voice in the government of his nation.

This, we say, appears to have been intended as a declaration against the American party. It is, however, devotee to American principles, and would do well if it were to be adopted.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

That every right granted by the Constitution does not mean the right to do what we please.

B. Barker and Jno. Shepard are alone authorized to receive subscriptions due for the half-sheet Journal in the western part of the city.

E. S. Williams have later news from Nicaragua by telegraph, which appears to be favorable to Walker. The Costa Ricans who had advanced into Nicaragua had retreated, not having met with the reception anticipated from the Nicaraguans.

Congress—In a meeting, yesterday, the Rev. Alexander Campbell, who is visiting Kentucky, we inadvertently stated that he would deliver an address on female education, at Newcastle, next Thursday. We are reminded that this distinguished gentleman delivers his address in Newcastle next Friday instead of Thursday. We trust our readers will take notice of this correction.

MAD. ABLAMOWICZ'S CONCERT—A very fashionable audience attended the concert of Mad. Ablamowicz on Monday night. The music resisted the highest anticipations. We understand Mad. A. intends to visit Chicago shortly for the purpose of giving one or more concerts in that city.

The Concert by MENTER'S CORNET BAND—All the praises we had previously heard spoke of the performance of this band and the singing of Mons. Colliere had not prepared us for the delicious fees of music which we enjoyed at their concert last night. The band was superior to anything we have ever heard from brass band. At one time soft, sweet and silvery, it fell upon the ear so gently that the listener seemed borne into some enchanting dream-land, where the charming voices of hours lulled the senses into sweet forgetfulness of care and pain. At another time, rising through the whole diapason of tones, it came with powerful cadence and grandeur, the expression of all the moods of the heart. The hand of the band was excellent, but Mr. Menter's performance upon the bugle and cornet was certainly unrivaled, as also was Mr. Ritter's solo upon the clarinet.

Mons. Colliere has a magnificent baritone voice, full of power and sweetness. We have never in our lives heard the Marsellus Hymn sung with such tremendous effect as it was sung by him last night. The whole audience was deeply carried away with enthusiasm, which rendered this favorite air prodigious. Mad. Colliere is quite young and her voice has evidently not attained its full power. Her higher notes are excellent and she sings with much taste and perfect accuracy.

At the request of a number of our citizens, Mr. Menter will give another concert to-night. The Marsellians will be repaid when we can assure the lovers of good music that Mr. Menter's performance is unequalled. Mons. Colliere has acknowledged that they have never before heard it sing with such thrilling effect. The programme for to-night presents selections from the most admired operas. This concert will be a rich feast to the most fastidious lovers of sweet sounds.

A BLOCK of MARBLE for the Washington Monument—The resolution introduced into the common council by Robert F. Baird, Esq., and which passed that body, authorizing the mayor to procure and forward a block of Kentucky marble, and have it appropriately inscribed, for the Washington Monument, passed the board of aldermen last night.

THEATRE—The Merchant of Venice, in which Mr. Madocks sustains and Mrs. Gladstone Portia, is to be produced at the theatre to-night. The afterpiece is the Loan of a Lover.

TRINX MANUFACTORY—We have neglected to notice to Mr. Mathew Hunt's truck establishment on Third street, between Market and Clay. This was an excellent saloon, and shows that progress is improving in our city.

FIREMAN ASSOCIATION—There will be a meeting of Jackson Council, at the hall of the Knights of Pythias, to-night. All the members of the Council are urged to be in attendance, as there will be an election of delegates to the State Council. Americans of other Councils are always warmly welcomed at the meetings of Jackson Council.

We hope some of our citizens who wish to build a sturdy dwelling will attend the sale of lots on Chestnut street to-day, and purchase those two beautiful houses which will be sold by C. Spencer. This is the last chance for getting large lots on that fashionable and beautiful street.

DENTAL SURGEY—We wish attention to the card of Dr. Thomas G. Leckerman, dentist, in our advertising columns. Dr. L. is an accomplished and experienced dentist. He was one of the earliest graduates of the Baltimore College of Dentistry, and has practiced his profession very successfully in that city for the last twelve years. He has already established a reputation as a sharp and judicious operator, and perfectly familiar with every branch of the dental art. Dr. L. is recommended, as warmly recommended and endorsed by the most celebrated dental practitioners of Baltimore. He is associated in the practice with Dr. Goddard, and expects to succeed him. Dr. Goddard will still give attention to patients who desire his services.

The Free Banks of Indiana are fortifying themselves with silver coin-quarters and halves—with which they propose hereafter to redeem their currency. This will annoy the ascertaining brokers, and render the business of returning notes for redemption inconvenient. The brokers contend that silver is not a legal tender, but the bankers regard it differently.

The convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the diocese of Kentucky will meet in St. Paul's Church this morning at 10 o'clock. There will be a prayer meeting at 6 A. M., a session at 11, and service at 8 P. M. during the sitting of the convention.

CITY COURT—Tuesday, May 27. An ordinance warrant against a number of residents on the south side of Market street, below Third, for causing a nuisance, was issued by Mr. Wilson, the city attorney. It is charged that the same runs out on Third street, and it is charged that they throw all the refuse of their houses on the street, and cause a nuisance in the gutter on Third street and create a nuisance.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

SLATE—Tuesday, May 27. The water was within three inches of the bed of the stream at the time of the collision, the first and second mates and three sailors of the bark were lost.

Mr. Butler was struck opposite the foremast, and the helm was taken from him. The captain called for help and said his vessel was sinking, but the officers of the steamer were too occupied to render any assistance.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

Mr. Sheld called the attention of the Senate to a telegraph dispatch giving Mr. Sumner's statement before the House Committee on the 16th of April, in which he said that he was committed to the Senate to make an amendment to the resolution, that he distinctly understood that the Assembly did not intend to prohibit the importation of slaves, and that it is scarcely reasonable to call the bill unconstitutional.

Mr. Wilson responded, that he was before the Senate, and the bill was introduced by Mr. Sumner, commander of the United States troops at Fort Lewellenworth, for protection, but he had no orders, and could not without them, do his duty.

Mr. Butler called the main feature of the bill to establish a court of criminal jurisdiction, and he was appointed to the committee to introduce it. The bill provided for a general introduction of a uniform national code of marine signals.

